

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/436,920	11/09/1999	SHRINIWAS OHIA	062891.0320	7304	
75	590 11/13/2003		EXAMINER		
BAKER & BO			MIRZA, ADNAN M		
2001 ROSS AV DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2141	15	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1-10			ALG
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/436,920	OHIA, SHRINIWAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adnan M Mirza	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
THE REPLY FILED 6 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a	1
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amon he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See Mi R 1.136(a) and the appropriate unt of the fee. The appropriate priginally set in the final Office a	PEP extension extension action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyin	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	, , 		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		· •	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	у
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and an w or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0. Other:			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argue that prior art disclosed downloading file but doesn't establish communication. As to applicants argument one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention can understand clearly that in order to download info in a network, a user or client has to establish communication with a server or a

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER